(2)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 841 Chestnut Building

92756 (Bed) OBIGINAL

841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

MAR 4 1994

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Frank Peters
Supervisory Environmental Engineer, Code 181
Acting Head, Environmental Restoration Branch
U.S. Department of Defense, Department of the Navy
Chesapeake Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Building 212
Washington Navy Yard
Washington, D.C. 20374-2121

Re: Woodlawn Landfill Superfund Site;
"Special Notice" for Negotiations for Remedial Design

and Remedial Action, and Demand for Payment of Costs

Pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA

Dear Mr. Peters:

This letter relates to the liability of the Department of the Navy ["the Navy"] in connection with the Woodlawn Landfill Superfund Site, Colora, Cecil County, Maryland ["the Site"].

INTRODUCTION

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ["EPA" or "Agency"] has conducted and overseen activities undertaken at the Site in response to the release and/or threat of release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants into the environment. By letter dated April 14, 1993, EPA notified the Navy of its potential liability for such response action pursuant to section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ["CERCLA"], 42 U.S.C. § 9607. EPA has selected remedial action for implementation at the Site, which remedial action is described in a document called a Record of Decision ["ROD"] issued by EPA on September 28, 1993. EPA is now contacting you in an attempt to resolve the Navy's liability with respect to the above-captioned matter. Toward that end, this letter contains:

1. A formal demand for reimbursement of costs that have been paid (including interest thereon) and that are to be paid (which are subject to interest) in conducting and/or overseeing response actions at the Site (Demand for Payment);

- Notification that a limited period of formal negotiations for an agreement under which the Navy will implement the requirements of the ROD begins with your receipt of this letter (Special Notice);
- 3. General and site-specific information to assist you in these negotiations; and
- 4. A proposed consent decree for the non-federal potentially responsible parties ["PRPs"], and a proposed administrative consent order, as described below.

DEMAND FOR PAYMENT

As of June 8, 1993, EPA has paid costs in excess of \$408,872.39 for response activities related to the Site. Although this figure may not include all applicable costs incurred and paid to date, the figure represents EPA's most recent calculation. Furthermore, additional costs, including oversight and related enforcement costs, may continue to be incurred.

By this letter, EPA demands that the Navy reimburse the Agency for past costs of at least \$408,872.39. Failure to pay, or delay in payment, may subject the Navy to liability for increased costs associated with these past costs, including, but not limited to, interest and enforcement costs. Interest on amounts recoverable begins to accrue as of the date of receipt of this letter, as provided by section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

You may contact the following person to arrange for payment of the above-described costs:

Patricia Hilsinger
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel (3RC33)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
841 Chestnut Building
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 597-2618

SPECIAL NOTICE NEGOTIATIONS MORATORIUM

EPA has determined that use of the "special notice" procedures specified in section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622, will facilitate a settlement between EPA and the PRPs for implementation of this remedial action at the Site. Therefore, pursuant to that section, your receipt of this letter triggers a sixty (60) day moratorium on certain EPA response activities at the Site. During this sixty (60) day period, the PRPs are invited to submit a good faith proposal (defined below) to conduct and/or finance such remedial action and negotiate a consent decree (described below) under which the PRPs will perform such work. If EPA determines that such a good faith offer has been timely received, the Agency

will provide an additional sixty (60) days to finalize the consent decree. When approved by EPA and the United States Department of Justice, the consent decree will then be filed in federal court.

EPA encourages the Navy's participation by submitting a good faith proposal as defined below.

Good Faith Proposal

A good faith proposal to conduct or finance the remedial action is a written proposal that demonstrates the Navy's qualifications and willingness to perform such work and includes the following elements:

- A statement of willingness and financial ability by the Navy to implement the requirements of the ROD and proposed consent decree;
- 2. A demonstration of the Navy's technical capability to conduct the work, including the identification of the firm(s) the Navy intends to retain to conduct all or portions of such work or a description of the process the Navy will use to select the firm(s);
- 3. A statement of the Navy's willingness and ability to reimburse EPA for costs incurred in overseeing the performance of the work as well as EPA's past costs (as described above);
- 4. Comments, if any, on the proposed consent decree and on the proposed administrative order (see below);
- 5. The name, address, telephone, and telefax number (if any) of the person(s) who will represent the Navy in negotiations for a consent decree.

Consent Decree

Section 122(d)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(1)(A), requires that settlements for remedial action be entered in the appropriate federal district court in the form of a consent decree. Enclosed with this letter you will find a site-specific draft of EPA's model consent decree. This model provides boilerplate language for most provisions in order to standardize CERCLA consent decrees as much as possible and expedite CERCLA settlements. The United States will commence negotiations with a document containing language which, for the most part, is the same language the Government will expect in a final settlement because it reflects legal and procedural terms that have been found acceptable to both EPA and the regulated community in a large number of situations. Your decision to submit a good faith proposal to perform the work

should be made with the understanding that the terms appearing in the draft consent decree are substantially the terms which EPA expects to appear in the final settlement.

Also enclosed find a proposed administrative consent order ("Order") which provides that the PRPs will commence remedial design activities upon the effective date of the Order. The Order need not be entered in federal court and will enable the Navy to commence design activities prior to entry of the remedial action consent decree. The Order will remain in effect until the consent decree is entered. EPA encourages the Navy to enter into such an Order.

PRP Steering Committee

EPA encourages good-faith negotiations between the Navy and EPA and between the Navy and other potentially responsible parties ["PRPs"]. To facilitate these negotiations, EPA has enclosed a list of other PRPs to whom this notification has been sent. Inclusion on, or exclusion from, this list does not constitute a final determination by EPA concerning the liability of any party with respect to the Site.

EPA recommends that all PRPs meet to select a steering committee responsible for representing the group's interests. Establishing a manageable group is very important for successful negotiations with EPA.

PRP Response/EPA Contact Person

The Navy is encouraged to contact EPA as soon as possible to state its willingness to participate in negotiations relating to the Site. Pursuant to Section 122(e)(2)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(e)(2)(B), the Navy has sixty (60) calendar days from receipt of this letter to provide EPA with a written proposal as described above. You may respond individually or through a steering committee if such a committee has been formed. If EPA does not receive a timely response, EPA will assume that the Navy does not wish to negotiate a resolution of its liabilities in this matter and that the Navy has declined any involvement in performing the response activities described above. In such event, EPA may, among other things, issue an administrative order directing the Navy to perform the response action, or perform such response action and seek reimbursement from liable parties.

If a proposal is submitted which EPA determines is not a good faith offer, you will be notified in writing of EPA's decision to end the negotiations moratorium and the reasons therefor. The Navy may be liable for performing the response action pursuant to a unilateral administrative order and/or for reimbursing EPA for the cost of response actions performed by EPA.

Your response to this letter, including written proposals to perform the remedial action selected for the Site, should be sent to:

Debra Rossi
Remedial Project Manager (3HW42)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
841 Chestnut Building
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 597-9238

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

Pursuant to section 113(k) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(k), EPA has established an Administrative Record which contains documents forming the basis of EPA's selection of response action for the Site. The Administrative Record file is available to the public for inspection and comment. You may wish to review the Administrative Record to assist you in responding to this letter, but your review should not delay such response. Copies of the file are located both at the EPA Region III office and the following information repositories located near the Site:

Elkton Public Library 301 Newark Avenue Elkton, MD 21903 (410) 996-5600

Perryville Public Library 515 Broad Street Perryville, MD 21903 (410) 996-6050

EPA will consider comments received, if any, after the close of the comment period, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 300.825.

The factual and legal discussions contained in this letter are intended solely for notification and information purposes. They are not intended to be, and cannot be relied upon as, final EPA positions on any matter set forth herein.

If you or your attorney have any questions pertaining to this matter, please direct them to Patricia Hilsinger, Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, at (215) 597-2618.

Sincerely,

Abraham Ferdas, Associate Division Director for Superfund Programs

ing.

David Healy (MDE)
Jill Fallon, Associate Solicitor (DOI)

Mark Barash, Esquire (DOI)

Kirsten Erickson, Esquire (NOAA) . Patricia Hilsinger, Esquire (EPA) Stephen Orsino, Esquire (Navy) Daniel Dertke, Esquire (DOJ)

List of PRPs to Whom Special Notice Letters Enclosures:

Have Been Sent

Draft Consent Decree for non-federal PRPs

Draft Administrative Order on Consent

for Remedial Design

WOODLAWN LANDFILL PRPS TO WHOM SPECIAL NOTICE LETTERS HAVE BEEN SENT

Harold A. Wagner, President Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. 7201 Hamilton Boulevard Allentown, PA 18195-1501

Angelo N. Tarallo, President The BOC Group, Inc. 575 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Masatoshi Ono Chief Executive Officer Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. 1200 Firestone Parkway Akron, OH 44317

W. Edwin Cole, Jr., President
 The Board of County Commissioners of Cecil County
 Room 308 Court House
 Elkton, MD 21921

Charles H. Shivery, President Elkton Sparkler Company, Inc. 394 Baron Road P.O. Box F North East, MD 21901 A. William Reynolds Chairman of the Board GenCorp, Inc. 175 Ghent Road Fairlawn, OH 44333-3300

Robert Hooper, Vice President Harford Sanitation Services, Inc. 440 Franklin Street Bel Air, MD 21014-2990

William R. Holland Chairman of the Board United Dominion Industries, Inc. 2300 One First Union Center 301 South College Street Charlotte, NC 28202-6039

Frank Peters
Supervisory Environmental Engineer, Code 181
Acting Head, Environmental Restoration Branch
U.S. Department of Defense, Department of the Navy
Chesapeake Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Building 212
Washington Navy Yard
Washington, D.C. 20374-2121

MAR 4 1994